# Argument Structure in Usage-Based Construction Grammar

Experimental and corpus-based perspectives

Florent Perek University of Basel

John Benjamins Publishing Company Amsterdam / Philadelphia



The paper used in this publication meets the minimum requirements of the American National Standard for Information Sciences – Permanence of Paper for Printed Library Materials, ANSI z39.48-1984.

DOI 10.1075/cal.17

Cataloging-in-Publication Data available from Library of Congress: LCCN 2014048033 (PRINT) / 2014050036 (E-BOOK)

ISBN 978 90 272 0439 4 (HB) ISBN 978 90 272 6875 4 (E-BOOK)

© 2015 – John Benjamins B.V.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm, or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

John Benjamins Publishing Co. · P.O. Box 36224 · 1020 ME Amsterdam · The Netherlands John Benjamins North America · P.O. Box 27519 · Philadelphia PA 19118-0519 · USA

## Table of contents

## Acknowledgments

#### CHAPTER 1 Introduction 1 1.1 Overview: Argument realization 1 Usage-based linguistics 6 1.2 Structure of the book 10 1.3 1.3.1 Part I: Verbs 10 1.3.2 Part II: Constructions 11 1.3.3 Part III: Alternations 11 Part I. Verbs CHAPTER 2 Usage-based perspectives on verb valency 15 The verb in argument realization 15 2.1 Projectionist approaches and their limits 2.1.1 15 2.1.2 Constructional approaches 23 The division of labor between verbs and constructions 2.2 27 The problem of mapping form to meaning 2.2.128 2.2.2 The need for richer lexical knowledge 33 A usage-based account of verb valency 37 2.3 Summary 42 2.4 CHAPTER 3 Empirical evidence for usage-based valency 45 The hypothesis and its predictions 3.1 45 The usage-based valency hypothesis 3.1.1 45 Existing evidence: Verb biases in language comprehension 3.1.2 47 Assessing cognitive accessibility 49 3.2 3.2.1 Why these verbs? 50 3.2.2 Design 53 3.2.3 Stimuli 54 3.2.4 Participants and procedure 56 3.2.5 Results 57

IX

- 3.3 Comparison with usage data 65
  - 3.3.1 Data collection 65
  - 3.3.2 Valency distributions 68
- 3.4 Conclusion 74

#### Part II. Constructions

#### CHAPTER 4

### The usage basis of constructional meaning

- 4.1 The lexical origin of constructional meaning **79** 
  - 4.1.1 Constructions and constructional meaning 79
  - 4.1.2 Distributional biases and their significance 80
  - 4.1.3 Experimental evidence 83
  - 4.1.4 Evidence from corpus linguistics: Collostructional analysis 84
  - 4.1.5 Summary: The usage basis of constructional meaning 89
- 4.2 Problems with the lexical basis of constructions 90
  - 4.2.1 The conative construction 90
  - 4.2.2 The semantics of the conative construction 94
  - 4.2.3 The conative construction in use 98
- 4.3 Conclusion 102

#### CHAPTER 5

#### The importance of local generalizations

- 5.1 Low-level schemas 105
  - 5.1.1 Varying degrees of schematicity 105
  - 5.1.2 The status of low-level schemas 108
  - 5.1.3 Conclusion: The importance of local generalizations 111
- 5.2 Low-level schemas in the conative construction 111
  - 5.2.1 Verb-class-specific constructions 111
  - 5.2.2 Verb-class-specific collexeme analysis 115
  - 5.2.3 Verbs of ingestion 124
  - 5.2.4 Verbs of cutting 127
  - 5.2.5 Verbs of pulling 129
  - 5.2.6 Verbs of hitting 134
- 5.3 Summary and conclusion 139

#### Part III. Alternations

#### CHAPTER 6

#### Alternations as units of linguistic knowledge

- 6.1 Argument structure alternations 145
- 6.2 Alternations in construction grammar 147

105

145

79

6.3	Alternations as allostructions 151	
	6.3.1 The allostructions model 151	
	6.3.2 The dative allostructions 154	
	6.3.3 The locative allostructions 158	
	6.3.4 Experimental evidence for allostructions 163	
6.4	Alternation-based productivity 167	
6.5	Conclusion 173	
	PTER 7	
The	usage basis of alternation-based productivity	175
7.1	Asymmetries in alternations: An experiment 175	
	7.1.1 Goals of the experiment 177	
	7.1.2 General design and procedure 177	
	7.1.3 Stimuli 180	
7.2	Results 184	
	7.2.1 Meaning decision task 184	
	7.2.2 Sentence completion task 185	
	7.2.3 Contextual factors: A possible confound? 189	
	7.2.4 Summary 194	
7.3	Accounting for productivity asymmetries 194	
	7.3.1 A context-based explanation 195	
	7.3.2 A usage-based explanation 197	
7.4	Conclusion and prospects 206	
Con	clusion	
CHAI	PTER 8	
Sum	mary and evaluation	211
8.1	Usage-based perspectives on argument realization 211	
8.2	Theoretical and methodological implications <b>216</b>	
Refe	rences	219
Appo	endix	235
Constructions index		239
Name index		241
Subject index		245